

Received: by pop4.mx.voyager.net (mbox lkachinsky@[REDACTED])  
(with voyager.net's vgrpop Thu, 27 Apr 2006 05:29:59)  
Received: from n054.sc0.cp.net (smtpout1110.sc0.cp.net [64.97.144.110])  
by mx1.mx.voyager.net (Postfix) with ESMTP id 9EDC9E1F60  
for <LKachinsky@[REDACTED]> Wed, 26 Apr 2006 23:37:07 -0400 (EDT)  
Received: from n065.sc0.cp.net (64.97.131.2) by n054.sc0.cp.net (7.2.069.1)  
id 444FE45D000134AF for LKachinsky@[REDACTED] Thu, 27 Apr 2006 03:37:11 +0000  
Delivered-To: len@[REDACTED]  
Received: from n056.sc0.cp.net (64.97.160.31) by n065.sc0.cp.net (7.2.066)  
id 4449DA9E00075AD4 for len@[REDACTED] Thu, 27 Apr 2006 03:37:10 +0000  
Received: from hotmail.com (65.54.174.80) by n056.sc0.cp.net (7.2.069.1)  
id 444FE49700046E07 for len@[REDACTED] Thu, 27 Apr 2006 03:37:06 +0000  
Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC;  
Wed, 26 Apr 2006 20:37:05 -0700  
Message-ID: <BAY103-DAV88182DE20C6E8E1FF79B9A7BD0@phx.gbl>  
Received: from 12.155.242.130 by BAY103-DAV8.phx.gbl with DAV;  
Thu, 27 Apr 2006 03:36:58 +0000  
X-Originating-IP: [12.155.242.130]  
X-Originating-Email: [dontlietome@[REDACTED]]  
X-Sender: dontlietome@[REDACTED]  
From: "Crime Scene & Statement Analysis" <dontlietome@[REDACTED]>  
To: "Len Kachinsky" <len@[REDACTED]>  
References: <F257614103B0EB4094AE7A5B60197E12A0DC36@hbmhc.HBM.local>  
<6.0.0.22.2.20060426193408.01c47148@mail.core.com>  
Subject: Dassey Investigation: Dean Strang email  
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 22:36:28 -0500  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="====\_NextPart\_000\_00C1\_01C66981.DBC77F20"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2869  
Disposition-Notification-To: "Crime Scene & Statement Analysis" <dontlietome@[REDACTED]>  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2869  
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 27 Apr 2006 03:37:05.0554 (UTC) FILETIME=[DAAA0F20:01C669AB]  
X-Spam-Rating: 0.00%  
Status: RO

Len,

Thanks for your information.

Dean is trying to gather intel. What can you tell me about the private investigator working for Dean and Avery

Barb understands that I am working for Brendan. Brendan confirmed this for her in one of their visits.

On the other hand, I gave Jodi my card on my first day at the Avery property.

Steve began calling Barbara's house every couple of minutes during my second visit.

Barbara's phone rang incessantly. I suspect that he may have called his mothers' and/or Jodi's house and was informed that I was at Barbara's house down the lane.

On this past Monday and Tuesday, I was photographing the Avery property. Dolores, Jodi and Earl were there watching me from a distance. They appeared to be frantic as they were running from one house/building to another and back to the other. It was like field mice watching for a cat.

Eventually Dolores came/drove out to see who was here and when she drove up, she recognized me. We visited for about 10 + minutes. Earl stopped as he was leaving. We exchanged pleasantries and introductions while shaking hands. We had a pleasant visit and he headed home.

Dolores and I continued talking for a little while longer. I was trying to gain her trust while collecting intel for our client.

I have Barbara collecting information regarding Brendan's medical, educational and family history so we can begin assimilating the mitigation information for sentencing and penal placement.

I have developed inside information that in the immediate days after Teresa was murdered that Earl moved both the Suzuki (Barbara's father's car) and her van to the boneyard. I am concerned with the evidence being both degraded and/or disposed of (in the crusher) by the Avery's. It was clear in the Discovery that the detectives are quietly asking about the Suzuki and trying not to sound an alarm for fear of losing the Suzuki and it's possible evidentiary contents. This possible linking evidence and Brendan's truthful testimony may be the breakthrough that will put their case more firmly on all fours. Is there a way that we can secure the Suzuki and the van and protect them for the prosecution in Avery's case. Can we obtain a SDT to secure both vehicles in a closed/sealed container.

I am not concerned with finding connecting evidence placing Brendan inside the crime scene as Brendan will be states primary witness. This will only serve to bolster the prosecution. It will actually benefit the state if there is evidence attributed to Brendan. It will corroborate his testimony and color him truthful.

I would like to salvage as much of Brendan's future as possible and still have a work product that the prosecution can use. The more valuable that Brendan is to the prosecution the better we can do for him in a plea coupled with sentencing/placement mitigation. I have developed information that Brendan suffered head injuries from his mother who used a wooden spoon to hit him on his head between the ages of 2 and 7. This was one of her forms of discipline for Brendan. Brendan was also assaulted by other boys. He failed in every physical altercation and was physically, psychologically and emotionally a loser. This affected him in his quest for comfort/solace/sexual contact with the opposite sex.

If the detectives were trained in linguistic analysis they would have arrested Brendan close to when they did Steve.

Steve sent them on a wild goose chase naming Bobby as the last person to see Teresa at the Avery property.

I intend to collect the wooden spoon and have it for you to use as demonstrative evidence at





Sisson and Kachinsky Law Offices

[REDACTED]

Office:

Fax:

Car:

Mobile:

"Not for the glory. Not for the rush. Sometimes you just do what you have to do."